Best Management Practice -
to reduce spray drift

Information & Training Course
Content
PPP – entry routes and perceptions
Definition of spray drift
Drift curves
Key factors influencing drift
Factors out of our direct control
How to reduce spray losses from drift?
  • Droplet size
  • Droplet generation
  • Nozzles / selection
  • Distance to target
  • Boom height

• Shielded spraying
• Driving speed

Additional complexity in Orchard, Vine and Bush crops
  • Sprayer adjustment

Indirect spray drift risk reduction measures

Challenges in the EU to develop consistent and harmonized approach

Conclusions

Compiled by Univ Turin Deiafa
Manfred Roettele TOPPS - PM
Main entry routes of PPP to surface water

**Point sources**
Handling on farm (filling, cleaning, remnant management)

**Diffuse sources**
Field run off
Drainage
Spray drift

*Spray drift is quantitatively the least important entry route to water, but the most visible*
If you consider the two main entry routes of PPP – point and diffuse sources into surface water how would you estimate their significance in %?

**SIGNIFICANCE OF ENTRY ROUTES**
Stakeholder survey 2011/2012 in 7 countries

Point sources are perceived the main entry route, but variations between respondents are big.

Stakeholder survey (n=680; ES, IT, FR, DE, BE, DK, PL)
Please rank the different diffuse entry routes in order of their importance (1= most important ... 4 least important)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>BE</th>
<th>DE</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>FR</th>
<th>IT</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>2,06</td>
<td>1,99</td>
<td>2,23</td>
<td>2,04</td>
<td>1,45</td>
<td>1,93</td>
<td>1,96</td>
<td>1,97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spraydrift</td>
<td>2,36</td>
<td>2,82</td>
<td>1,98</td>
<td>2,23</td>
<td>2,36</td>
<td>2,38</td>
<td>2,39</td>
<td>2,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage</td>
<td>2,73</td>
<td>2,68</td>
<td>2,63</td>
<td>2,38</td>
<td>3,02</td>
<td>2,31</td>
<td>2,28</td>
<td>2,58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>2,82</td>
<td>2,51</td>
<td>3,17</td>
<td>3,36</td>
<td>3,16</td>
<td>3,38</td>
<td>3,37</td>
<td>3,04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Runoff is generally perceived as the number one diffuse entry route, except in DK. Spraydrift is considered on average the second most important diffuse source, but not in DE, IT and PL.

Attention: Lowest number more important (ranking method)
PERCEPTION ON SIGNIFICANCE DIFFER WIDELY

Stakeholder survey 2011/2012 in 7 countries

Cluster analysis separates three different groups of respondents in their evaluation of main diffuse sources

Average ranks in clusters (1 most important .... 5 least important)

- Runoff
- Spraydrift
- Drainage
- Erosion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Runoff</th>
<th>Spraydrift</th>
<th>Drainage</th>
<th>Erosion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Runoff cluster (49%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spraydrift cluster (36%)</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>1,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion cluster (15%)</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>1,37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPRAY DRIFT: “Quantity of plant protection product (PPP) that is carried out of the sprayed (treated) area by the action of air currents during the application process” (ISO 22866)
AMOUNT OF DRIFT IN FIELD CROPS

Drift curves – basis for risk assessments

Drift (% of applied)

Distance from the sprayed area (m)

(from Ganzelmeier et al. 2000)
AMOUNT OF DRIFT IN VINEYARD

Drift curves – basis for risk assessments

(from Ganzelmeier et al. 2000)
AMOUNT OF DRIFT IN ORCHARD

Drift curves – basis for risk assessments

(From Ganzelmeier et al. 2000)
Drift measurement approaches vary between countries in EU (South and East at the beginning)

(Report*: Joined spray drift curves for boom sprayers in The Netherlands and Germany)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Summary table reference boom sprayer.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item/country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nozzle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray pressure (bar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray volume (l/ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprayer speed (km/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boom height (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprayed surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop height (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprayed width (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature range (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed range (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed height (m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Basic drift curve contains data from measurements with other flat fan (FF) nozzle types and sizes (coarser sprays – lower drift).
† Values in square brackets are recently proposed (not yet adopted) for bystander/resident assessments.

Factors influencing spray drift
Factors we can influence and some are out of direct control

Key factors

- Wind speed
- Wind direction
- Temperature
- Air humidity
- Proximity to water
- Proximity sensitive area
- Crop treated
- Adjacent area
- Droplet size
- Application technique
- Adjustment of sprayers
Factors out of direct control
weather conditions – proximity to sensitive areas

High temperatures can cause thermic drift (do not spray above 25 degrees)
(spray in morning / evening)

Under low air humidity small droplets can evaporate
(do not spray at air humidity < 40%)

Windspeed and wind direction determine largely how much spray quantity will be lost
(do not spray at wind speeds > 5m/s)

Proximity to water / sensitive area require careful planning of the application and application times
(spray in morning / evening)
Crops have a strong influence on the spray drift risk

Arable crops

• drift risk on bare soil is higher than on established vegetation

• with higher vegetation the drift risk increases

Orchard / vine crops

• drift risk is high early in season due to less developed leaf area
• drift risk decreases with full density of the „leaf – wall“
Adjacent area / vegetation influence the spray drift risk
HOW TO REDUCE SPRAY LOSSES DUE TO DRIFT?

MEASURES TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT FROM DRIFT

DIRECT

Reducing drift at source

Use of Spray Drift Reduction Technology (SDRT)

• Application equipment
• Adjustment of sprayers
• Application parameters
• Application scenario

INDIRECT

Reducing exposure to drift

No spray zones
Buffer zones
Natural vegetative strips
Windbreaks, hail nets, etc.

• Fixed buffer zones
• Adjustable buffer zones (depending on spray application technology)

Regulatory activities
Key parameters to reduce the spray drift risk

Field applications

- Droplet size
- Distance to target
- Forward speed

Orchard applications

- Droplet size
- Distance to target
- Air volume
- Air speed
- Air direction

Most important is the correct adjustment of the sprayer
Consider droplet size!!!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCPC Specification</th>
<th>Droplet size</th>
<th>MVD - Mean Volume Diameter</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Penetration canopy</th>
<th>Drift risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very fine VF</td>
<td></td>
<td>125 μm = 0.12 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine F</td>
<td></td>
<td>250 μm = 0.25 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium M</td>
<td></td>
<td>350 μm = 0.35 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse C</td>
<td></td>
<td>450 μm = 0.45 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very coarse VC</td>
<td></td>
<td>575 μm = 0.57 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DROPLET SIZE:
Small droplets are more sensitive to wind and increase the drift risk.

Avoid droplets < 100µ
Influence of wind velocity on spray drift
(Disafa tests made in wind tunnel)
COVERAGE:
Small droplets can cover more area / cm²

Biological activity depends on what is actually reaching the target and on the properties of the Plant Protection Product (small droplets often do not reach the target)

In drift sensitive areas small droplets should be avoided
Penetration into the canopy is easier with bigger droplets

- bigger droplets have more energy to penetrate the canopy and resist turbulences
- smaller droplets are more sensitive to wind and evaporation
Small droplets: advantage and disadvantage

**Advantage:**
- Small droplets may have higher coverage on leaf area
- More even distribution of droplets
- Less risk of droplets runoff

**Disadvantage:**
- Higher risk of losses due to drift and turbulences
- Do not sufficiently penetrate canopy!
- Difficult depositing in canopy
## RECOMMENDED DROPLET SIZE FOR DIFFERENT PESTICIDE CATEGORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Droplet size (µm)</th>
<th>Product type</th>
<th>Droplets / cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150÷-250</td>
<td>Fungicides</td>
<td>min 50÷70 droplets /cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200÷250</td>
<td>Insecticides</td>
<td>min 20÷30 droplets /cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200÷600</td>
<td>Herbicides</td>
<td>min 20÷40 droplets /cm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CORRELATION BETWEEN DROPLET SIZE, DROPLET/cm² AND VOLUME RATE

Volume application rate (l/ha)
droplets /cm²
Drift reduction

Control droplet size!
(in drift sensitive areas
avoid droplets < 100 µ)
### Droplet generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Hydraulic nozzle** | • Droplets generated by driving a liquid through an orifice with pressure.  
  • easy adjustment of droplet size (nozzles)  
  • many types of nozzles |
| **Pneumatic**    | • Droplets are generated by pressing air at high speed to a liquid stream  
  • Droplet size adjustment options low  
  • Often used in South EU |
| **Rotation**     | • Droplet are generated through centrifugal force (spinning disc)  
  • Droplet size adjustment options very low |
CORRELATION BETWEEN NOZZLE FLOW RATE, PRESSURE AND DROPLET SIZE

Flow rate (l/min)

Droplet size (µm)

Pressure (bar)

Flow rate

Droplet size

Flow rate (l/min) vs. Pressure (bar) and Droplet size (µm)
Nozzles produce a spectrum of droplets

Definitions:

• VMD = Volume Median Diameter (volume 50% above/50% below value)

• \(d_{10}\) = droplet diameter under which 10% of the sprayed volume is contained

• \(d_{90}\) = droplet diameter under which 90% of the sprayed volume is contained

VMD = 241
\(D_{10} = 122\)
\(D_{90} = 391\)

VMD = 145
\(D_{10} = 65\)
\(D_{90} = 278\)
Is the VMD sufficient information to define the best nozzle to reduce drift?

... for decision making we should know how much volume is contained by droplets < 100 µ (0,1 mm) for each nozzle type
Hydraulic nozzles types

- Flatfan
- Deflector nozzle
- Hollow cone
- Double flatfan
Codeing of nozzles (Standard)

A: Nozzle type
   (here AI = Air Induction)

B: Trade name
   (here: Teejet)

C: Spray angle
   (here: 110°)

D: Nozzle output
   (here: 0.3 Gallonen/min. at 40 psi; 
   = 1.1355 Liter/Min. at 2.8 bar)
   ⇒ größere Zahl = größerer Ausstoß

E: Colour code (related to the flow rate)
   (V = VisiFlo-Code [ISO-Norm])

F: Nozzles material
   (here: S = stainless steel),
Air induction nozzle – Droplets with air inclusions

Spray liquid

Air

Source: Agrotop
ANTIDRIFT NOZZLES

- Liquid entrance
- Air suction hole
- Disc for dosing liquid flow rate
- Mixing chamber
- Liquid output
- Air induction
- Turbodrop
No disadvantages of Antidrift nozzles

TurboDrop  ID 90-xx  AVI 80-xx  IDK 90-xx  CVI 80-xx

• Large number of trials show comparable biological activity for most PPP
• Perceived disadvantage: not visible spray cloud
Modern Nozzles in Field, Vine and Orchards applications

Advantage of Injector and Antidrift nozzles:

- more coarse droplet spectrum 300 to 500 µ (VMD)
- at the same flow rate as traditional nozzles (Spray volume /ha)
- very low fraction of fine droplets Ø < 100 µm (< 1 %)

→ Reduces spray drift up to 95 %
(see Nozzles classification ; different in countries)
Key recommendation: reduce drift by reducing small droplets – Antidrift nozzles

On both sides of the sprayer: same volume output
THE PRESENT SITUATION IN EUROPE CONCERNING SPRAY DRIFT REDUCING TECHNIQUES

• AIR INDUCTION NOZZLES ARE THE MOST SPREAD DRIFT REDUCING TECHNIQUE ON SPRAYERS

• THEY ARE MORE COMMON ON FIELD CROP SPRAYERS, ESPECIALLY IN NORTHERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

• STILL POORLY USED ON AIR-ASSISTED SPRAYERS IN ORCHARDS AND VINE APPLICATIONS
END BOOM NOZZLES

Traditional boom

Boom equipped with an «asymmetric jet» at the boom end

Drift reduction = 10-20%
Selection of the right nozzle
Consider

- Crop
- Droplet size
- Environmental requirements
- Spray volume
- Weather conditions
- Driving speed
- Pressure
- PPP
Drift reduction

reduce the distance to the target

*lower chance for the wind to interfere*
Boom height at spraying is often too high.

Nozzle spacing 50 cm.

... It is easy visible if the boom is too high!
Correct boom height is important to reduce spray drift risks

Drift reduction = 45%
Pay attention to boom stability to reduce the spray drift risk.
Automatic control of boom height

- Electronic actuator
- Height sensors
In often windy areas air support could help to reduce spray drift

Drift reduction: 70 - 80%

Boom sprayer without air sleeve activated

Boom sprayer with air sleeve activated
Droplegs reduce the distance to the target
SHIELDED BOOM SPRAYERS

Drift reduction: 80 - 90%
BAND SPRAYER COMBINED WITH SOWING AND WEEDING MACHINES

Drift reduction: 60 - 70%
Shielded sprayer in orchards

- Training systems need to be aligned
- Driving not always possible or difficult
- Sprayer cleaning complex (point source?)
Drift reduction

reduce the forward speed

lower chance for the wind to interfere
Driving Speed for spraying along sensitive areas should not exceed 8 km/h

8 km/h is the reference speed for nozzle drift classification (DE)
ADEQUATE FORWARD SPEED

- Boom width: 18 m
- Operating pressure: 3 bar
- Boom height: 100 cm
- Wind velocity: 4 m/s

Distance from the applied field (m)

Deriva (% of applied)

3 km/h
6 km/h
9 km/h
Additional complexity to reduce drift in Orchard, Vine and Bush crops
Orchard / vine sprayers transport droplets by air to the targets

- Most commonly used are sprayers with axial fans
- Produce unsymmetric air flow
- Distances to the target for the droplets vary strongly
- Spray profil must be adapted according to the training system of the crop and the different seasonal development.

General observation:
Often applications are done with too much air volume. More easy technical adjustment possibilities on sprayers would be beneficial. Sprayers are often not well adjusted.
Example: vineyard before flowering (LAI 0.3)
Conventional axial fan sprayer

Drift (% of applied)

Distance from the applied field (m)

- 30% drift

Optimised profile
Standard profile

Wind: 5.1 ÷ 8.2 m/s
Sprayer types which reduce the distance from droplet generation to target

Axialfan sprayer with crossflow installation
• distance to target more equal
• Air directed to canopy

Tangential-fan sprayer
• distance to target more equal
• Air directed parallel to canopy

Tunnel sprayer
• drift is collected by shields
• Special training of crop is necessary / cannot operate everywhere

Measurement of drift reduction concentrates on complete sprayer and its configuration – a challenge for drift classifications
Sprayer adjustment is key for drift reduction mainly in orchard /vine crops

Key aspects

• Droplet size (nozzles)
• Air direction
• Air flow / speed
• Air volume
Adjust sprayer correctly to the canopy structure
Nozzle orientation
Close nozzles you do not need or change as required

.... Change nozzle by turning the nozzle holder
Big challenge is the correct adjustment of the spray output to the crop canopy

- spray volume need to cover and penetrate the shape and structure of the canopy
- nozzles with different spray output need to be arranged to fit the canopy
- Several adjustments needed during the season as canopy develops

The images shared by courtesy of Health and Safety Executive – UK. Walklate et al. 2003.
Adjust air precisely to the crop canopy

Adjustment through correct setting of deflectors
Adjustment of axial fan sprayers is difficult
Air flow and volume adjustment

• Change the angle of the blades of the wind machine
• Air volume mainly adjusted via gear box / Pto
AIR-ASSISTED SPRAYERS EQUIPPED WITH ADJUSTABLE FAN AIR INLET

Adjustment of AIR FLOW

- diaphragm leaf shutter
  - restricting airflow on fan inlet
AIR-ASSISTED SPRAYERS EQUIPPED WITH AIR CLOSURE SYSTEMS ON ONE SIDE

Drift reduction: 20-30%
AIR-ASSISTED SPRAYERS EQUIPPED WITH AIR CLOSURE SYSTEMS ON ONE SIDE

open

closed
Air flow rate adjustment to the vegetation development operating enables to limit the overall spray drift, especially after 5 m.

**Drift reduction**
- Wind: 4.0 ÷ 4.3 m/s
- 5.3% drift at 5 m
- 6.1% drift at 10 m
- 2.0% drift at 20 m

**Graph Details**
- Drift (% of applied) vs. Distance from the applied field (m)
- Blue line: 11000 m³/h
- Red line: 20000 m³/h
How to adjust in practice
How to adjust in practice

Avoid losses of spray – biological activity
Measuring poles with water sensitive paper
Test bench

Measurement of vertical distribution of spray liquid

Measurement of vertical air profil of the sprayer
Spray scenarios

In case of sudden changes of weather conditions different spray scenarios are recommended to be considered when spraying along sensitive areas

- if wind blows towards a sensitive area, spray border rows from outside in
- modify air support to balance the drift risk

Spray scenarios can be used if spraying cannot be postponed or sudden change of wind direction occurs

Border rows: one sided spray from outside in

Spray from the outside in
Indirect spray drift reduction measures

- Buffer zones
- Untreated zones
- Hedge rows catching the spray
- Hail nets

Different regulations in EU!

Some countries link buffer zones with drift reducing techniques

Future requirements: ?

Label information on distance requirements concerning drift depend on drift classification of spray equipment
Understand more about drift risks and drift reduction

www.TOPPS-drift.org

Field crops / Orchards / Vine – 8 languages
Education and awareness
THE PRESENT SITUATION IN EUROPE CONCERNING BUFFER ZONES

IN THE COUNTRIES WHERE BUFFER ZONES ARE ESTABLISHED

- **DIFFERENT REFERENCE SPRAY DRIFT CURVES** ARE USED IN THE DIFFERENT COUNTRIES AS BASIS TO DEFINE BUFFER ZONES

- **WIDTH AND TYPE OF BUFFER ZONES** (NO CROP OR NO SPRAY ZONE) ARE DEFINED ACCORDING TO SPECIFIC CRITERIA IN EACH COUNTRY

- WIDTH OF BUFFER ZONES CAN BE WIDENED DEPENDING ON **PPP TOXICITY**
SUMMARY OF THE EU SITUATION

- LACK OF AWARENESS ON THE NECESSITY FOR DRIFT MITIGATION
- NOT SUFFICIENT KNOWLEDGE AND HARMONISATION ABOUT HOW THE PPP DRIFT RISK ASSESSMENT IS EVALUATED
- LIMITED DIFFUSION OF DRIFT REDUCING TECHNIQUES INCLUDING SPRAYER ADJUSTMENT
- BUFFER ZONE DEFINITION
Conclusion

... We have means for the cloud to disappear
Summary: Key parameters to manage the spray drift risk in arable applications

**Direct measures**
- Reduce fine droplets (use spray drift reduction techniques)
- Spray with the correct boom height
- Spraying speed < 8 km/h along sensitive areas
- Plan application carefully, consider weather forecast, be especially aware when spraying along sensitive areas.

**Indirect measures**
- Hedgerows catch spray drift
- Consider buffer strips / untreated zones
Summary: Key parameters to manage the spray drift risk in orchard / vine applications

**Direct measures**
- Reduce fine droplets
- Optimize sprayer adjustment
  - air volume, speed, direction
  - liquid volume
- Select drift reducing sprayer
- Select low risk spray scenario
- Careful planning and execution of applications along sensitive areas with care

**Indirect measures**
- Hedgerows catch spray drift
- Hailnets reduce spray drift by about 50%
- Consider buffer strips / untreated zones
...we cannot avoid drift completely but we can largely reduce it
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